

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/887,953		06/22/2001	Varouj Amirkhanian	1031/205	7677	
26588	7590 02/16/2005			EXAMINER		
LIU & LIU 811 WEST SEVENTH STREET, SUITE 1100				COUNTS, GARY W		
LOS ANGELES, CA 90017			100	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			1641	1641	

DATE MAILED: 02/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/887,953	AMIRKHANIAN, VAROUJ					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Gary W. Counts	1641					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 December 2004</u> .							
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-9.11-18 and 22 is/are pending in the	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11-18 and 22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9, 11-18 and 22</u> is/are rejected.	∂)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9, 11-18 and 22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the contined contine not received.							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
AMaahaaan4/a)							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/20/04.	5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					
C. Debat and Today at Office							

Art Unit: 1641

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Status of the claims

The Request for Continued Examination filed December 20, 2004 is acknowledged and has been entered.

# Rejections withdrawn

The objection of the specification is withdrawn in view of the amendments to the specification.

The rejection of claims 1-18 for failing to comply with the written description requirement is withdrawn in view of the amendments to the claims.

The rejection of claims 1-18 and 21 as being vague and indefinite is withdrawn in view of the amendments to the claims.

The rejection of claims 1-18 and 21 as being obvious is withdrawn in view of the amendments to the claims and applicant's arguments.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 1-9, 11-18 and 22 are allowable.
- 2. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record neither teaches nor suggests devices or instruments as recited in the instant claims. For the closest prior art please see the previous office action.

### **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11

Application/Control Number: 09/887,953

**Art Unit: 1641** 

F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-9 and 11-18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,529,275. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the instant application and US Patent 6,529,275 claim a detection system for a bio-separation device comprising a separation channel; a detection section; means for introducing excitation radiation; and a means for detecting radiation emission. The current application is directed to detecting emission radiation axially whereas US 6,529,275 is directed to merely detecting radiation and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the narrower claim of detecting radiation axially would encompass the broader claim of detecting radiation.

Claim 22 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 124 and 26-31 of copending Application No. 09/887,871. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the instant application and copending Application No. 09/887,871 claim a detection system for a bio-separation device comprising a separation channel; a detection section; means for introducing

Application/Control Number: 09/887,953

Art Unit: 1641

excitation radiation; and a means for detecting radiation emission. One of ordinary skill

in the art would recognize that the means for introducing excitation would encompass

the excitation system of the current application.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Gary W. Counts whose telephone number is (571)

2720817. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Long Le can be reached on (571) 272-0823. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary Counts
Examiner

Art Unit 1641

February 9, 2005

LONG V. LE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

02/05/05

Page 4